

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/625,754	Applicant(s) LEVENTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel A. Monaco.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2005
Time: PM (E.D.T.)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

29-31

Prior art documents discussed:

References U-W listed on the 892 attached to the previous Office action

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

- (i) agreed that a dosage range of from 25 mg -300mg is clearly supported at page 13, lines 25-26 of the present specification; and
 (ii) authorization given for Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature — if appropriate)